IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

IN THE MATTER OF SEARCHES)	
INVOLVING 555 GROVE STREET,)	Misc. No.
HERNDON, VIRGINIA, AND)	
RELATED LOCATIONS)	<u>-UNDER SEAL</u>

"(PROPOSED REDACTED) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR SEARCH WARRANT (OCTOBER 2003)

_____I, David Kane, a Senior Special Agent with the United States Customs Service ("USCS"), in Baltimore, Maryland, being duly sworn, depose and state:

I. <u>Professional Experience of Affiant</u>

- 1. I have served as a Special Agent ("SA") with the USCS for approximately five years. I have received training and gained experience in interviewing and interrogation techniques, arrest procedures, search and seizure, search warrant application, computer crimes, terrorism, and various other crimes. I have been the case agent on complex money laundering investigations that involved the domestic and international transfers of money, layering processes, falsified records and front organizations. I have been the case agent on four cases that were terrorism-related and the affiant on six federal search warrants involving money laundering violations.
- 2. Prior to joining the USCS, I obtained a B.A. degree in Government and Politics and a Masters degree in International Transactions from George Mason University. I worked as a financial services agent with Prudential Preferred Financial Services, where I analyzed financial structures and executed financial transactions with complex, international financial instruments on a regular basis.

II. The Investigation

- 3. Since December 2001, I and other agents of the USCS, the Internal Revenue Service-Criminal Investigation ("IRS-CI"), and the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), have been investigating a group of individuals that are suspected of providing material support to terrorists, money laundering, and tax evasion through the use of a variety of related for-profit companies and ostensible charitable entities under their control, most of which are located at 555 Grove Street, Herndon, Virginia. For ease of reference, I will refer to the web of companies and charities controlled by these individuals as the "Safa Group." To a large extent, the present investigation has built on the findings of an investigation previously conducted with respect to these individuals between 1998 and 2000 by the USCS, the FBI, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service ("INS"), for suspicion of the same violations.
- 4. I will show in this affidavit that probable cause exists to believe that these individuals have committed and conspired to commit the following offenses:
 - a. Transmit money internationally for the purpose of promoting offenses against foreign nations involving murder or the destruction of property by means of explosives, fire, kidnaping or extortion, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A) and (h);
 - b. Provide material support or resources to foreign terrorist organizations, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B;
 - c. Provide material support or conceal or disguise the source or ownership of material support intended for use in preparation for or in carrying out a terrorist act, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339A;
 - d. Defraud the United States by impeding, impairing, obstructing and defeating the lawful government functions of the Internal Revenue Service of the Department of the Treasury in the ascertainment, computation, assessment, and collection of federal income taxes, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, by availing themselves of the advantages of exemption from federal income taxation while abusing the requirements for tax exempt status; using a web of related corporate entities to move funds through a series of transactions designed to conceal the true nature,

- source, disposition and taxability of revenues moved between these entities; and misrepresenting the nature of the relationship between charities and for- profit companies to avoid scrutiny of their financial transactions;
- e. Engage in a scheme to file or cause the filing of materially false Forms 990 (Return of Organization Exempt from Tax), concealing the relationships and financial transactions between the related entities, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7206(1) and (2);
- f. Engage in a corrupt endeavor to impede and impair the due administration of the internal revenue laws by, during an audit conducted by the IRS, misrepresenting the status of the SAAR Foundation and the disposition of its assets, and concealing the relationships between SAAR and related entities, in violation of 26 U.S.C. §7212(a); and
- g. File false individual income tax returns for the years 1997 and 1998, in violation of 26 U.S.C. §7206(1).
- 5. Because the factual context of this case is so complicated, and the legal context may be one with which the Court is relatively unfamiliar, this is a long affidavit. For ease of reference, I have included a glossary of the individuals involved in this affidavit and attached it to this affidavit as Attachment E. I have tried to italicize the type for each individual and organization included in the glossary so that the Court may check the glossary for a short identification of the entities mentioned it reads the affidavit.

III. Places to be Searched

6. This application seeks search warrants for the following locations (as specifically described in Attachment "A" to this affidavit and incorporated herein), within which the facts set forth in this affidavit will show that there is probable cause to believe will be found the "Items To Be Seized During Execution of Search Warrants" (as specifically described in Attachment "B", attached to this affidavit and incorporated herein) relating to transfers of money between the

various entities and other individuals and organizations connected to terrorists, as well as evidence of tax evasion and tax fraud:

a. 555 Grove Street, Office Suite Behind the Door Labeled 110, 114, and 116, Herndon, Virginia

The office suite behind the door marked as the door for Suites 110, 114, and 116 in the building at 555 Grove Street is the business premises of the SAAR Foundation, Safa Trust, Inc., and dozens of other related entities in the Safa Group that are controlled by the individuals that are the subject of this investigation.

b. 500 Grove Street, 2nd Floor, Herndon, Virginia

The second floor of 500 Grove Street is the business premises of *International Institute*For Islamic Thought ("IIIT"), a Safa Group company controlled by the individuals that are the subject of this investigation.

c. 750-A Miller Dr. SE, Leesburg, Virginia

750-A Miller Drive SE is the business premises of *Heritage Education Trust*, a *Safa Group* company controlled by the individuals that are the subject of this investigation.

d. The Administrative Offices of *Mar-Jac Poultry* at 1020 Aviation Blvd., Gainesville, Georgia

1020 Aviation Blvd., Gainesville, Georgia, is the business premises of *Mar-Jac Poultry*, *Inc.*, a *Safa Group* company controlled by the individuals that are the subject of this investigation. The Administration Building at *Mar-Jac Poultry* contains the business's financial records.¹

¹ It is perhaps worthwhile to note here that, pursuant to the USA PATRIOT ACT, enacted in October 2001, Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure now authorizes warrants to be issued by a Federal magistrate judge in any district in which activities related to terrorism may have occurred, for property outside the district.

e. The Residence of Al-Alwani at 1105 Safa Street, Herndon, Virginia

1105 Safa Street in Herndon is the residence of Taha Jaber Al-Alwani, an officer and/or director of Safa Group companies including International Institute of Islamic Thought ("IIIT"), FIQH Council of North America ("FIQH"), Graduate School of Islamic & Social Sciences ("GSISS") (formerly known as the School of Islamic and Social Sciences ("SISS")) and Heritage Education Trust.

- f. The Residence of Barzinji at 11919 Safa Court, Herndon, Virginia

 11919 Safa Court in Herndon is the residence of Jamal Barzinji, an officer and/or

 director of Safa Group companies, including Mar-Jac Poultry, Inc., Mena Investments, Inc.,
- Reston Investments, Inc., and Safa Trust.

Foundation, Safa Trust, Sterling Management Group, and York Foundation.

g.

11922 Safa Court in Herndon is the residence of M. Yacub Mirza, an officer and/or director of Safa Group companies including African Muslim Agency, GSISS, Grove Corporate Plaza, Mar-Jac Investments, Mar-Jac Poultry, Mena Investments, Reston Investments, SAAR

The Residence of Mirza at 11922 Safa Court, Herndon, Virginia

- h. The Residence of Jaghlit at 9034 Swift Creek Road, Fairfax Station, Virginia
 9034 Swift Creek Road in Fairfax Station is the residence of Mohammad Jaghlit, an
 officer and/or director of Safa Group companies, including Heritage Education Trust and SAAR
 Foundation.
- i. The Residence of Totonji at 305 Marjorie Lane, Herndon, Virginia
 305 Marjorie Lane in Herndon is the residence of Ahmad Totonji, an officer and/or director of Safa Group companies, including IIIT and Safa Trust, Inc.

- j. The Residence of *Unus* at 12607 Rock Ridge Road, Herndon, Virginia

 12607 Rock Ridge Road in Herndon is the residence of *Iqbal Unus*, an officer and/or director of *Safa Group* companies including *Child Development Foundation*, as well as the administrative and billing contact for web sites for *IIIT* and *FIQH Council of North America*.
- k. The Residence of M. Omar Ashraf at 12541 Browns Ferry Road, Herndon, VA.

 12541 Browns Ferry Road in Herndon is the residence of Mohammad Omar Ashraf, an officer and/or director of Safa Group companies, including Grove Corporate Plaza, Mar-Jac Investments, and Sterling Charitable Gift Fund.
- 1. The Residence of Muhammad Ashraf at 12528 Rock Ridge Road, Herndon, VA.

 12528 Rock Ridge Road in Herndon is the residence of Muhammad Ashraf, an officer and/or director of Safa Group companies including Sterling Investment Group, Sterling

 Charitable Gift Fund, and York Foundation.

Executive Summary of This Affidavit

- 1. I am investigating a criminal conspiracy to provide material support to terrorist organizations by a group of Middle Eastern nationals living in Northern Virginia. These individuals operate or have operated over 100 different organizations, on which they commonly serve as corporate officers. These organizations include charitable organizations, educational and cultural organizations, for-profit businesses and investment firms. For the purpose of this affidavit, this group of individuals and the organizations that they operate will be referred to as the "Safa Group."
- 2. Many organizations in the Safa Group dissolve and are replaced by other organizations under the control of the same individuals. Most of these Safa Group organizations, which

present themselves as Islamic educational and charitable organizations, are "paper" organizations that are registered at common addresses, but have no apparent physical presence on the premises. The majority of these organizations is or was located at 555 Grove Street, Herndon, Virginia.

3.. I have seen evidence of the transfer of large amounts of funds from the Safa Group organizations directly to terrorist-front organizations since the early 1990's. Some of this information was developed by the FBI, the USCS, and the INS, in previous investigations conducted of terrorist financing that focused on Sami Al-Arian, who fronted for the Palestinian Islamic Jihad-Shikaki Faction ("PIJ"), an organization that has been formally designated by the President of the United States as a terrorist organization since 1995. This previous investigation, which resulted in search warrants being executed in Tampa, Florida, in 1995, showed that money was being provided directly to PIJ front organizations by individuals controlling the Safa Group.

In 1998, the FBI opened an investigation of the Safa Group's terrorist financing connections as a result of the seizures made in the Al-Arian search warrants in Tampa in 1995. Investigators then noticed that the pattern of direct funding to the PIJ front organizations had changed since the mid-1990s. By the late 1990's, the finances of the Safa Group, including charities required by law to open their books to the public, exhibited a convoluted web of multiple transactions between related corporations and charities that made it virtually impossible for federal investigators to ascertain where the money that finally left the web of the Safa Group ultimately went. Indeed, the current investigation has traced millions of dollars through layers of related companies and to charities in the Isle of Man – from which point the trail cannot practically be followed.

- 4. The FBI, USCS, and IRS agents involved in this investigation at various times since 1998 suspect that, as a result of the 1995 searches in Tampa, the Safa Group engaged in the money laundering tactic of "layering" to hide from law enforcement authorities the trail of its support for terrorists. There appears to be no innocent explanation for the use of layers and layers of transactions between Safa Group companies and charities other than to throw law enforcement authorities off the trail; this inference is strengthened by the Safa Group's repeated failure to disclose on tax forms as required the connections between various members of the Safa Group. Accordingly, I and the other agents involved in this investigation believe that some of the moneys that move overseas are destined to the PIJ and other terrorist organizations; at the least, the money is being used for other than tax-exempt purposes in violation of the tax laws.
- 5. I will show in this affidavit that evidence exists that individuals associated with the Safa Group are using the various affiliated charities and companies under their control to transfer money in convoluted transactions through a network of inter-related organizations designed to prevent the United States from tracking the ultimate recipients, in violation of the charter of the charitable organizations and the laws relating to the use of tax exempt status.
- 6. Based on the past histories of the individuals involved with respect to their overt financial support for terrorist organizations, their repeated misrepresentations by omissions on tax forms of information concealing the interrelationships between the Safa Group companies and charities under their control, and the lack of an innocent reason to conduct transactions in these convoluted manners, there is probable cause that the Safa Group companies and charities are being used to transfer money to terrorist front groups or some other illicit application. At the very least, however, this evidence constitutes probable cause that the individuals and entities

involved have repeatedly made false tax filings and are conspiring to abuse the tax exempt status claimed by many of the related Safa Group charities.

7. I will also show in this affidavit that records relevant to material support to terrorists, money laundering, and tax evasion likely have been generated or maintained by the *Safa Group* companies and charities, and by the individuals involved. Finally, I will show in this affidavit that these records will be found at the business premises of the *Safa Group* companies and charities, such as 555 Grove Street, in Herndon, and at the homes of some of the individuals involved.

IV. Overview of Terrorism and Terrorist-Financing Techniques

- 8. Based upon my training and experience, and that of other agents from the USCS, the FBI, the IRS, and the INS, involved in this and related investigations, I know the following regarding terrorist financing techniques:
 - a. Many terrorist organizations have a financial support structure in the United States and in virtually every other developed country.
 - b. Often, terrorists and their supporters who are motivated by religious ideology, such as Islamic fundamentalist terrorists and their supporters, adhere to their ideological creed and inclination to engage in and/or support terrorist activities for life.
 - c. Terrorist groups differ from other criminal networks because of the motive behind their crimes. Unlike drug traffickers and organized crime groups that primarily seek monetary gain, terrorist groups usually have nonfinancial goals, including publicity, dissemination of ideology, political legitimacy, and political influence. Terrorist fundraising is a means to these ends. While they do not seek financial gain as an end, international terrorist groups need money to attract and retain adherents and to support their presence and activities. Some foreign terrorist organizations need funds for media campaigns, to buy political influence, and even to undertake social projects such as hospitals, orphanages, schools, etc., largely with the aim of maintaining membership and attracting sympathetic supporters. For some terrorist groups, the planning and

- execution of violent attacks seems to comprise a small part of their total budget.
- d. A substantial portion of the terrorist funding comes from contributors, some of whom know the intended purpose of their contribution and some of whom do not. With relatively small sums of money generated from traditional illegal activities, terrorism financing contrasts with the finances of a drug trafficking network, which earns virtually all of its profits from illegal activities. When compared with a financial investigation of a drug trafficker who has unexplained wealth and sham business dealings, investigating the financial dealings of a terrorist organization is considerably more difficult. Their members may live modestly. Their funds may be derived from outwardly innocent contributors to apparently legitimate humanitarian, social and political efforts. These funds are also only diverted, in part, to terrorist activity.
- e. Terrorist groups tap a range of sources for their financial support. Illicit revenues derived from the proceeds of traditional criminal activities are commingled with legitimate funds because radical organizations have been able to draw on profits from commercial enterprises and on donations from witting and unwitting sympathizers. Significant funding originates from the U.S., Europe, and the Middle East. Some funding is provided by state sponsors. A summary of the various sources of terrorist financing follows:
 - 1. Otherwise Legitimate Commercial Enterprises: Terrorist groups earn profits from businesses they own and also secure donations from sympathetic entrepreneurs. Some of the businesses set up to provide profits to terrorists include: construction companies, tanneries, banks, agricultural commodity growers and brokers, trade businesses, bakeries, restaurants, bookstores, and other proprietorships.
 - Social and Religious Organizations: Since the early 1990s, terrorist
 groups have relied increasingly on donations for financial support,
 much of it from like-minded, non-governmental organizations
 (such as charities) in the West and Persian Gulf states.
 - 3. State Sponsors: Several rogue nations provide material assistance or resources to terrorists and some provide financial support to terrorists. Other, more moderate governments also have been a source of financial support for some terrorist organizations.
- f. Tracking terrorist financial transactions is more difficult than following the money trails of mainstream criminal groups because of the relatively

small amounts of funds required for terrorist actions, the broad range of "legitimate" sources and uses of funds. While many organized crime groups are adept at concealing their wealth and cash flows for long periods of time, their involvement in the physical trade of illicit drugs, arms, and other commodities often exposes the revenues and expenditures connected to these illegal dealings. In contrast, terrorist actions generally are comparatively inexpensive and their financing often is overshadowed by the larger financial resources allocated for the group's political and social activities, making it more difficult to uncover the illicit nexus. For example, investigations into the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001 have revealed that the overall financing for the attacks totaled approximately \$500,000.

- g. Terrorist groups use a variety of means to move their funds, including
 - 1. Physical Transportation: Cash physically transported by trusted operatives (couriers) is the most difficult to track because it usually leaves no paper trail.
 - 2. Traditional Financial Institutions: The international nature of most foreign terrorist groups forces them to rely on banks and other financial institutions. Cells of extremists need local access to funds, and organizations with substantial assets seek the safety and productive management offered by banks, insurance companies, and foundations, albeit in the names of trusted nominees, cover companies, or religious fronts.
 - 3. Offshore Banks: As do traditional criminal enterprises, terrorist organizations often utilize offshore banks to move their "licit" and illicit funds. Terrorists know that it is difficult for U.S. investigators to track these moneys, due to the financial secrecy and lack of regulated oversight associated with offshore banking centers. Traditional tax haven centers where offshore banking and money laundering tend to thrive include the Isle of Man, the Channel Islands, and Switzerland.
- h. Charitable Organizations: Terrorists often establish or utilize "charitable organizations" to move their illicit proceeds. Terrorists know that many charities receive contributions in the form of cash, and that it is very difficult for investigators to trace money moving through these organizations. Most charitable organizations are assumed to be of an altruistic and benevolent nature, so criminals often use them knowing that charities are subjected to less government scrutiny.

- i. Layering: Terrorist organizations, like traditional criminal organizations, often "launder" their illicit proceeds by utilizing a complex sequence of financial transactions. Sophisticated terrorist financiers try to make the money trail left by their transactions as difficult to follow as possible by "layering" their transactions; that is to say, by routing their money through numerous paths and indirect channels before ultimately causing their money to arrive at the desired location. These "layering" transactions often utilize many different individuals and corporations and involve many different bank accounts, false names, "front companies", and "phantom" organizations. These layering processes are designed to both disguise the true origin and end-destination of the funds and to render exceedingly difficult and confusing any prospective investigation by law enforcement authorities.
- j. Foreign Bank Accounts: Terrorists will hide the existence of their personal or their company's foreign bank accounts to obfuscate the trail of international financial transactions and evade law enforcement.
- k. Saudi Arabian nationals who are suspected of providing funds to terrorists are subject to scrutiny by the Saudi Arabian authorities. Due to this scrutiny, these individuals cannot provide money to terrorists via direct transfers from their bank accounts in Saudi Arabia. Therefore, they find alternate methods and routes to fund terrorists. This often involves utilizing their own or other overseas organizations to funnel money to terrorists. These organizations are located in many different parts of the world. The paths of these moneys are often very complex and involve significant "layering."
- Whether by their host governments or by the Israeli government, individuals and organizations throughout the Islamic world often are barred from sending money to individuals and organizations in Israel and/or the West Bank and Gaza. One way that supporters of terrorism in Israel deliver money to terrorists in Israel and/or the West Bank and Gaza is by first transporting the money to the United States and only later sending it to Israel and/or the West Bank and Gaza from the United States. Accordingly, while terrorist financing money collected in the United States is simply transported abroad, terrorist financing money collected abroad may enter the United States either to facilitate its later transport to terrorist organizations abroad or simply to fund terrorist activity in the United States.

- 9. Based upon my training and experience, and that of other agents from the USCS, the FBI, the IRS, and the INS, involved in this and related investigations, I know the following regarding the laws relating to terrorist financing:
 - a. Funds involved in traditional money laundering transactions usually are the proceeds of some prior-in-time, specified unlawful activity, as defined by 18 U.S.C. 1956(c)(7). With the exception of the funds generated by traditional crimes for profit, funds used or intended to be used to finance particular acts of terrorism or to be sent to a designated foreign terrorist organization generally will not be related to a prior-in-time, specified unlawful activity. Rather, such funds will acquire their criminal "taint" from their involvement in a transaction intended to assist in or promote an act of terrorism or to fund a designated foreign terrorist organization. As a result, the money laundering charge that is most applicable to financiers of terrorism is 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A), which prohibits the international transfer of money to promote a "specified unlawful activity."
 - b. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7)(B)(ii), "specified unlawful activities" include offenses against the United States or a foreign nation involving murder, kidnaping, robbery, extortion, or destruction of property by means of explosive or fire, or other terrorism-related specified unlawful activities enumerated under 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7).
 - c. Title 18 U.S.C. § 2339A makes it a crime for persons within the U.S. to provide or to conceal or disguise the nature, location, source, or ownership of "material support or resources," knowing or intending that they are to be used in preparation for or in carrying out a violation of any of the predicate enumerated crimes, including those relating to terrorist acts abroad against United States nationals, use of weapons of mass destruction, international terrorist acts transcending national boundaries, destruction of aircraft, kidnaping, extortion, and murder.
 - d. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2339A(b), the term material support or resources is defined to include, "currency or monetary instruments or financial securities, financial services, training, expert advice or assistance, safehouses, false documentation or identification, communications equipment, facilities, weapons, lethal substances, explosives, personnel, transportation, and other physical assets, except medicine or religious materials."
 - e. On January 25, 1995, President Clinton signed Executive Order 12947, Prohibiting Transactions With Terrorists Who Threaten To Disrupt The Middle East Peace Process, declaring a national emergency to deal with

- the threat to the national security posed by foreign terrorists disrupting the Middle East peace process. This executive order prohibited any transaction or dealing with persons designated in or pursuant to the order. This order designated the *Palestinian Islamic Jihad-Shiqaqi Faction* ("PIJ") and *Islamic Resistance Movement* ("HAMAS") as Specially Designated Terrorist organizations ("SDTs") which threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process.
- f. Since October 8, 1997, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1), no individual or entity may provide material support or resources to an organization designated by the Secretary of State as a "Foreign Terrorist Organization" ("FTO"), or attempt or conspire to do so. An organization is designated as an FTO for up to two years if the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Attorney General and the Secretary of the Treasury, finds that it is foreign; engages in terrorist activity; and that the terrorist activity threatens U.S. national security or the security of U.S. nationals.
- g. On October 8, 1997, the Secretary of State designated 30 Groups, including *HAMAS* and *PIJ* as "FTOs." See 62 F.R. 52650. On October 8, 1999, the Secretary re-designated most of the originally designated Groups (including *HAMAS* and *PIJ*) and added a new Group, Al Qaida.

 See 64 F.R. 55112. On October 5, 2001, *HAMAS*, *PIJ*, and Al Qaida were redesignated as FTOs. See 66 F.R. 51088.

V. <u>Laws Relating to Charitable Organizations</u>

- 10. Based on the training and experience of IRS-CI Special Agents Mary Balberchak, Paul Shanks, and Steven Smith, I understand the following regarding the laws relating to tax-exempt organizations:
 - a. The Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") creates an exemption from federal income taxation for certain organizations which comply with the provisions of 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3). § 501(c)(3) provides an exemption from federal income taxation for:
 - b. Corporations, and any community chest, fund, or foundation, organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, . . . purposes, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private

shareholder or individual, no substantial part of the activities of which is carrying on propaganda, or otherwise attempting, to influence legislation..., and which does not participate in, or intervene in (including the publishing or distributing of statements), any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office.

- c. An organization obtains an exemption from federal income taxation under § 501(c)(3) by applying to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for a letter determining that it meets the requirements for exemption. The application process is generally prospective in nature; thus, the IRS generally relies on the representations made by the organization in the documents it submits as to how the organization intends to operate in the future. An organization receiving a favorable determination letter may generally continue to rely upon the letter as long as there are no substantial changes in the organization's character, purposes, or method of operations.
- d. There are two general categories of § 501(c)(3) entities--private foundations and public charities. Private foundations are generally supported by investment income and not contributions. Organizations that are public charities are set up to receive charitable contributions from the public.
- e. The most significant benefit of public charity status is that, pursuant to IRC §§ 4940-45, private foundations are subject to an annual 2% tax on their net investment income but public charities are not. In addition, there are several restrictions and requirements on private foundations, including (1) restrictions on self-dealing between private foundations and their substantial contributors and other disqualified persons; (2) requirements that the foundation annually distribute income for charitable purposes; (3) limits on their holdings in private businesses; (4) provisions that investments must not jeopardize the carrying out of exempt purposes; and (5) provisions to assure that expenditures further exempt purposes. Violations of these provisions give rise to taxes and penalties against the private foundation and, in some cases, its managers, its substantial contributors, and certain related persons.
- f. § 501(c)(3) organizations are presumed to be private foundations unless they fall into one of the exceptions in the IRC. One such exception is for publicly-supported organizations. An organization qualifies as publicly-supported if it passes the one-third support test, or, failing that, it may qualify under the facts and circumstances test.
- g. The one-third support test is satisfied if the organization normally receives at least one-third of its support from governmental units, contributions

made directly or indirectly by the general public, or from a combination of these sources. An organization normally meets the one-third support test for the current tax year and the following year if for the four years immediately before the current year, it met the one third test on an aggregate basis.

- h. The facts and circumstances test treats an entity as publicly-supported if it normally receives 10 percent of its support from governmental units or contributions made directly or indirectly by the general public or from a combination of these sources; and it meets the "attraction of public support" requirement. An organization meets the attraction of public support element if it maintains a continuous and bona fide program for solicitation of funds from the general public, community or membership Group or from other charities.
- i. Under the Internal Revenue Regulations an organization "will be regarded as "operated exclusively" for one or more exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish the exempt purposes specified in section § 501(c)(3). An organization is not primarily engaged in activities which accomplish an exempt purpose if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose." 26 CFR §1.501(c)(3)-1. An organization which is organized and operated for the primary purpose of carrying on an unrelated trade or business is not exempt under § 501(c)(3).
- j. An organization may meet the requirements of § 501(c)(3) although it operates a trade or business as a substantial part of its activities, if the operation of such trade or business is in furtherance of the organization's exempt purpose or purposes and if the organization is not organized or operated for the primary purpose of carrying on an unrelated trade or business. In determining the primary purpose, all the circumstances must be considered, including the size and extent of the trade or business and the size and extent of the activities which are in furtherance of one or more exempt purposes. 26 CFR 1.501(c)(3)-1
- k. Like for-profit entities, tax exempt entities are subject to audit. If upon review the IRS determines that an organization is not operating consistently with its tax exempt purpose, the IRS may revoke the tax exemption, or impose excise taxes depending upon the nature, degree and severity of the violation. Once an organization loses tax-exempt status, its total revenues are subject to federal income taxation, based upon its business form.

- 11. Based on the training and experience of IRS-CI Special Agents Balberchak, Shanks, and Smith, I understand the following regarding reporting requirements for tax-exempt charities:
 - IRC §§ 6033 and 6043(b) require exempt organizations to file returns, if their annual receipts are in excess of \$25,000 and they do not fall into a category otherwise exempt from the filing requirement. Form 990 (Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax) is used by tax-exempt organizations to provide the IRS with the information required by Section 6033. Form 990s depict information about contributions received, grants and allocations made, assets and liabilities, organizational relationships, and other financial and organizational information. This form requires an organization to document, that, in actual operations, it adhered to the standards and procedures it said that it would use when its application for tax exempt status was approved.
 - b The IRS relies upon Form 990 to gather information to administer the applicable provisions of the IRC. In addition, an organization's completed Form 990 (except for the schedule of contributors) is available for public inspection as required by Section 6104 of the IRC. Form 990 returns are signed under penalty of perjury. Willful failure to provide information that is required to be disclosed on a Form 990 is subject to prosecution under 26 U.S.C. 7203. Willfully filing a fraudulent Form 990 is subject to prosecution under 26 U.S.C. 7206(1).
 - c. The IRS has an examination program designed to determine if tax-exempt organizations are complying with the tax laws. The Form 990 is used in the process of defining and determining the scope of an audit of a tax-exempt organization. A "Yes" response to a question on the Form 990 may not necessarily lead to an audit of the entity; however, if the entity is selected for audit, the responses on the form discussed hereafter would be reviewed and considered in pre-audit planning to decide what issues or aspects of the organization's operations warrant detailed scrutiny. If an organization fails to furnish accurate information regarding its relationships to other organizations, then the Revenue Agent may miss an issue for examination which he should otherwise be investigating.
 - d. One statutory requirement for the operation of a tax-exempt entity is that no part of its earnings inure to the benefit of its shareholders or any individual. Various parts of the Form 990 elicit information designed to determine whether the organization has engaged in transactions which raise issues of potential personal inurement or private benefits. Thus, in Part VI of the Form 990, Question 80(a) requires the reporting entity to list any organization to which it is related and whether the related entity is exempt or non exempt. The information disclosed in this question is

- utilized to determine whether the entity is operated for an exempt purpose and whether the earnings of the entity are being used for personal or private gain.
- e. Similarly, Schedule A to the Form 990, Part III, Question 2 requires the disclosure of certain transactions (such as loans, sales or exchanges of property or transfer of assets) between the reporting organization and certain insiders or with a taxable entity with which those insiders are affiliated. If such transactions occurred, the entity is required to attach a detailed statement explaining the transactions. This question is directed at issues of self-dealing, in which the transaction may have been motivated by private gain.
- f. Part I, Form 990, line 1(d) requires the exempt organization to report its total contributions and attach a schedule of all contributors who gave, in the aggregate more than \$5,000 during the year.
- g. Part II, Form 990, line 22 requires the exempt organization to list its grants and allocations identifying the donee's name, address and the amount given and the purpose of the donation.
- h. A § 501(c)(3) organization can make grants to foreign charities, even if the foreign organizations are not recognized as charities under IRC § 501(c)(3), if the § 501(c)(3) organization maintains control and discretion over the use of its funds and maintains adequate records. Control and discretion means that the domestic § 501(c)(3) organization can make an independent decision as to whether it will provide funds to a foreign organization. The domestic § 501(c)(3) organization is deemed to have control and discretion if it:
 - 1. makes a pre-grant inquiry concerning the use of its grant so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the grant will be used for charitable purposes; and
 - 2. obtains reports on the use of its funds.
- i. If the domestic § 501(c)(3) organization does not have control and discretion over the use of its funds, then contributions to the domestic § 501(c)(3) would not be deductible by the donor. Further, the organization may be determined to be not operating for an exempt purpose. If it were determined not to be operating for an exempt purpose, its tax-exempt status could be revoked.
 - j. All charitable entities are subject to the requirement that the purpose of the charity not be illegal or contrary to public policy. Illegal acts which make

up a substantial part of an organization's activities disqualify it from exemption. The relative amount required to be considered substantial will vary according to the character and non-exempt quality of the activity. Thus, a great many violations of a local pollution regulations would be required, whereas very little planned violence or terrorism would be enough to preclude exemption. For an organization to lose its tax-exempt status, the illegal activity must be undertaken on behalf of the organization, i.e., they must be actions taken by officials of the organization, their agents or actions ratified by the organization. In instances where tax-exempt status is revoked, contributions to the organization would not be deductible under Section 170 of the IRC.

k. It is not unusual for tax cheaters to try to receive their income and hold their assets in bogus 501(c)(3) organizations. Some may attempt to make otherwise non-deductible contributions to non-exempt recipients appear deductible by initially routing their contributions through an organization claiming tax-exempt status, when the ultimate disposition of the contribution is still a non-exempt entity. Individuals who set up such organizations or claim such status to fraudulently evade income or excise taxes may be prosecuted for tax evasion, as can those who set up ostensibly exempt organizations for the purpose of making their contributions to non-exempt entities appear deductible by first being routed through ostensibly exempt organizations.

VI. Sources of Information

12. All information contained in this affidavit is based upon my review of documents related to this investigation, and information furnished to me by other Special Agents of the USCS, INS, IRS, and FBI. Because this affidavit is submitted for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause in support of the application for a search warrant, it does not set forth each and every fact that I, or others, have learned during the course of this investigation.

VII. Overview of Terrorists and Terrorist Organizations

A. The Terrorists

13. I will show in this affidavit that various individuals associated with the Safa Group are providing material or financial support to international terrorists and terrorist organizations

through terrorist front organizations. The terrorist groups and terrorists that are receiving this support through individuals associated with the *Safa Group* are briefly described below.

- 14. HAMAS: HAMAS is an acronym for the arabic term for the Islamic Resistance Movement, an international terrorist organization founded in 1987 and dedicated to the elimination of the State of Israel. A "Specially Designated Terrorist" ("SDT") organization since 1995, and a designated Foreign Terrorist Organization ("FTO") since 1997, HAMAS espouses an extremist Islamic fundamentalist ideology, and is dedicated to the establishment of an Islamic Palestinian State that encompasses Israel, the West Bank and Gaza. HAMAS's primary tenets are opposition to compromise with Israel over creation of a Palestinian State and replacement of the Palestinian Authority as the sole representative of the Palestinian people. To these ends, HAMAS pursues a combined program of violence and terror on the one hand, and educational, charitable, and social functions on the other. The principal purpose of its armed attacks is to intimidate and coerce the Government of Israel and its civilian population. Its benevolent programs are used to enhance its image and earn goodwill in the Palestinian community.
- 15. The use of suicide bombers has become a *HAMAS* trademark. Between October 1, 2000 and September 10, 2001, *HAMAS* claimed responsibility for at least 20 bombings (including a suicide bombing at an Israeli disco on June 1, 2001, that resulted in the deaths of 21 youths), two shootings, one kidnapping, and one mortar attack, that cumulatively resulted in at least 77 deaths (including three Americans) injuries to at least 547 others, including four Americans.

16. On August 13, 2001, <u>USA Today</u> ran an article describing how *HAMAS* -run schools serve its ends:

In HAMAS -run kindergartens, signs on the walls read: "The children of the kindergarten are the shaheeds [holy martyrs] of tomorrow." The classroom signs at Al-Najah University in the West Bank and at Gaza's Islamic University say, "Israel has nuclear bombs, we have human bombs." At an Islamic school in Gaza City run by HAMAS, 11-year-old Palestinian student Ahmed state, "I will make by body a bomb that will blast the flesh of Zionists, the sons of pigs and monkeys. . . . I will tear their bodies into little pieces and cause them more pain than they will ever know." "Allah Akbar," his classmates shout in response: "God is great." "May the virgins give you pleasure," his teacher yells, referring to one of the rewards awaiting martyrs in paradise.

- Palestine Islamic Jihad-Shikaki Faction ("PIJ"), also known as the Islamic Jihad of Palestine: PIJ, a SDT since 1995, and a FTO since 1997, was founded in the early 1980s by Fathi Shikaki. Another terrorist organization dedicated to the elimination of the State of Israel, PIJ is responsible for many suicide bomb attacks in Israel that have resulted in the death of both U.S. and Israeli nationals. One of these attacks includes the Gaza Strip bus bombing in April 1995, in which U.S. citizen Alyssa Flatow was killed. The Shura Council is the decision-making body of the PIJ and is composed of the highest level PIJ officials.
- 18. Mousa Abu Marzook has been the political and operational leader of HAMAS from the time of its establishment in 1987. Marzook is a native of Gaza who lived in the United States --in Northern Virginia in specific -- until 1993; he was arrested trying to reenter the United States in July 1995, and underwent proceedings for extradition to Israel. In In the Matter of the Extradition of Abu Marzook v. Christopher, 924 F.Supp. 565 (S.D.N.Y. 1996), the district court found that Marzook directed HAMAS's terrorist activities.
 - 19. Sheik Abdel Aziz Odeh is the spiritual leader and a co-founder of PIJ.

- 20. Sheik Omar Abdel Rahman, also known as the "Blind Sheik," is considered the spiritual leader of the radical Islamic terrorists who bombed the World Trade Center in February, 1993. Rahman was convicted of seditious conspiracy based on a plot to blow up New York City tunnels and the United Nations in October 1995, for which he received a life sentence in prison.
- 21. Ramadan Abdullah Shallah is the current leader of the PIJ and resides in Damascus, myria, An war, Ahallah veplased PiJ tounder Pathi Shikaki as the leader of the PLI, after Shikaki's assassination in Matta in 1995. Prior to taking this position, Shallah had resided in Tampa, Florida and was employed as an adjunct professor at the University of South Florida while working as a U.S. representative of the PIJ with Sami Al-Arian and Basheer Nafi. In executing search warrants in Tampa in 1995, federal agents seized a video of a speech made by Shallah at an Islamic Committee for Palestine ("ICP") conference in December 1992 organized by Al-Arian, in which Shallah said that Jihad is a holy war aimed at killing every enemy of Islam, including (among others) the New World Order symbolized by the United States. Shallah stated that Muslims should not be defensive or apologize against charges of terrorism, because Jihad required them to terrorize, devastate, humiliate, and degrade their enemies.
 - B. The Terrorist Financiers and Front Groups (Outside of the Safa Group)
- 22. Terrorist organizations such as HAMAS and PIJ have worked in the United States through front Groups and individuals. They include Sami Al-Arian, Basheer Nafi, the Islamic Committee for Palestine ("ICP"), the World Islamic Studies Enterprise ("WISE"), and the Holy Land Foundation for Relief and Development ("HLF").
- 23. Sami Al-Arian is a Palestinian national employed until earlier this year as a professor at the University of South Florida in Tampa. Al-Arian incorporated PIJ front organizations

known as *ICP* and *WISE* in Florida, in 1988 and 1991, respectively. Based in large part on documents seized from his home and offices pursuant to federal search warrants in 1995, I believe that *Al-Arian* has been a leader of *PIJ*, its representative in the United States, and responsible for raising funds for Jihad, or "holy war." I will describe much more about *Al-Arian* later in the affidavit when I recount the historical background of this investigation.

- 24. Basheer Nafi was an active directing member of PIJ front organizations ICP and WISE in Tampa, Florida, and later International Institute For Islamic Thought ("IIIT") of the Safa Group, until he was deported by the INS in 1996. According to the May 31, 1995, edition of Al-Hayat, an Arabic newspaper printed in London, fierce differences erupted within the PIJ between founder Fathi Shikaki and elected members of the Shura Council, leading to resignations of members of the Shura Council including Taysir Al-Khatib and Basheer Nafi.

 Yet, the November 6, 1995, edition of the Jordanian newspaper Al-Urdun, regarding the selection of Shallah as the new leader of PIJ, noted that several other leading PIJ members, including Nafi, had not been selected. Thus, it appears that after Shallah replaced Shikaki as head of the PIJ after the latter's assassination in October 1995, Nafi reentered the organization's leadership.
- 25. Al-Arian sponsored Nafi into the United States in 1992 and 1995, on the grounds that Nafi was to be the Director of Research for WISE in Tampa, Florida. In July 1996, Nafi was removed from the United States pursuant to a deportation order based on allegations that included that although he was admitted as a worker for WISE, in October 1994 he was employed at IIIT, a Safa Group charity in Herndon, Virginia.

- 26. Mazen al-Najar is a brother-in-law of Sami Al-Arian who worked as a professor at the University of South Florida while running the day-to-day operations of WISE. Al-Najar was arrested and ordered deported on visa violations and immigration fraud charges after a hearing in July 1996, in which INS SA William West testified that Al-Najar was a "mid-level operative" in ICP and WISE, both of which were characterized by SA West as PIJ front groups. Al-Najar was released in December 2000, however, for the government's refusal to provide Al-Najar with classified information underlying its case that the government claimed warranted his continued detention; that order was reversed and Al-Najar is again in custody. Al Najjar v. Ashcroft, 257 F.3d 1262 (11th Cir. 2001).
- 27. Khalil Shikaki, the brother of PIJ leader Fathi Shikaki, was involved in the day-to-day operations of ICP and WISE in the early to mid-1990s, along with Al-Arian, al-Najar, and Shallah.
- 28. Holy Land Foundation for Relief and Development ("HLF"): On December 4, 2001, President Bush designated HLF an FTO on findings that it was a HAMAS front. HLF was determined to provide financial support for families of HAMAS suicide bombers, as well as the Palestinians who adhere to the HAMAS movement. By providing annuities to families of suicide bombers, HLF encouraged the flow of suicide volunteers to HAMAS and buttressed its terrorist infrastructure.
- 29. HLF, a charity in Richardson Texas, was started in large part with \$210,000 from HAMAS leader Marzook as a support structure for the terrorist group after it was banned in Israel. HLF's stated mission was to pursue "charitable-relief for refugees and the indigent needy, fund raising furthering the corporation's exempt purposes, and to sponsor charitable

activities benefiting and to make contributions or distributions to other 501(c)(3) organizations[.]" Between 1998 and 2000, *HLF* raised over \$24,000,000.

- 30. I have read the FBI Memorandum to the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control, dated November 5, 2001, that contributed to the basis of *HLF*'s FTO designation OFAC The memo disclosed that, in a meeting in a Marriott hotel in Philadelphia that the FBI covertly recorded, five *HAMAS* leaders met with the three top executives of *HLF*, and decided that most or almost all of the funds collected by *HLF* in the future would be directed to *HAMAS*.
- 31. In a meeting the next year in Oxford, Mississippi, also recorded by the FBI, HAMAS representatives explained to the representatives of the al-Aqsa Educational Fund - another fund-raising organization acting as a HAMAS front (and a member of the Safa Group) - that al-Aqsa should curtail its fundraising activities because Marzook had designated HLF as the primary fund-raising entity for HAMAS. Marzook may have so designated HLF because of family connections; according to FBI SA Robert Miranda, who has been investigating the connection between HAMAS and HLF; Marzook is married to the cousin of HLF head Ghassan Elashi, and two other close relatives of HAMAS leaders worked for HLF.
- 32. ICP / WISE: The Islamic Concern Project, also known as the Islamic Committee for Palestine ("ICP"), and the World Islamic Studies Enterprise ("WISE") were incorporated by Al-Arian in Florida as educational organizations in 1989 and 1991, respectively, but actually served as front organizations to raise funds for PIJ operations. Al-Arian was assisted in the day-to-day operations of ICP and WISE by Nafi, al-Najar, Khalil Shikaki, and Shallah. Then the Director of Research for WISE, Shallah is now the current leader of PIJ, living in Syria, as he has been

since the assassination of PIJ founder Fathi Shikaki in 1995. ICP and WISE have been inactive since execution of the Tampa search warrants in 1995.

VIII. The Tampa Investigation

- 33. In May 1995, INS SA William West, in conjunction with USCS SA John Canfield and FBI SA Barry Carmody, began a criminal investigation of *ICP* and *WISE* after published newspaper articles stated these organizations were fronts used to raise funds in support of *PIJ* and *HAMAS*.
- 34. After Fathi Shikaki, the leader of PIJ, was assassinated in Malta in 1995, the agents investigating ICP and WISE saw news accounts that reported that, before replacing Shikaki as the leader of PIJ, Shallah had left his position as a professor at the University of Southern Florida the same institution that employed Al-Arian.
- 35. INS records revealed 1993 and 1994 entry records for *Shallah* as a temporary specialized worker who would be based at the *WISE* address in Tampa, Florida. INS records further revealed that *Al-Arian* had filed three different visa petitions on behalf of *Shallah* and in so doing had identified himself as the Chairman of the Board of *WISE*.
- 36. As a result of discovering the immigration records relating to Al-Arian and Shallah, a further search was made of the INS visa petition records reflecting Al-Arian as the petitioner. This search identified two visa petitions filed with INS by Al-Arian on behalf of Basheer Nafi, who was of interest to the investigators because, on the basis of news reports, they believed him to be another leader of PIJ. These petitions reflected Al-Arian as the Chairman of WISE, with Nafi to be the "director of research." A check of INS entry records for Nafi revealed four entries,

on two of which he listed his destination address as 5207 East 12th Avenue, Tampa, Florida, the residence of *Al-Arian*, as well as the listed address of *ICP* on its articles of incorporation.

- 37. In light of *Al-Arian*'s ties to *PIJ* terrorists *Shallah* and *Nafi*, federal agents executed search warrants on November 20, 1995, at the residence of *Al-Arian*, his office at the University of South Florida, and the co-located offices of *WISE* and *ICP*, all in Tampa.
- 38. Found in the search on Al-Arian's home computer was a 40-page document entitled "The Manifesto of the Islamic Jihad in Palestine." The Manifesto said that movement was led by the Secretary General Fathi Shikaki, on a "Shura" (consultative) basis, and was based on the "rejection of any peaceful solution for the Palestinian cause, and the affirmation of the Jihad solution and the martyrdom style as the only choice for liberation." The document stated that the United Nations "is a supercilious tool to pass the plans of the Great Satan America, which makes the wrong right and the right wrong." Because Al-Arian's computer contained both what appear to be a draft of the PIJ Manifesto and a final version of the PIJ Manifesto, I believe that Al-Arian drafted the PIJ Manifesto.
- 39. Also uncovered was a form letter in *Al-Arian*'s computer inviting recipients to a general conference for the "Movement." Included in the letter were items of the conference agenda including: Reviewing the activities of the Secretary General and the Command Committee of the Movement; endorsing the Manifesto; and electing the General Shura (consultative) Council. The letter also stated that the Manifesto draft was enclosed. While the letter did not name the "Movement", the letter was located in the same computer folder as the draft of the Manifesto of the Islamic Jihad Movement in Palestine. The terminology used in the letter regarding the Manifesto, the General Conference, the Secretary General, and the Shura Council was the same

as that used in the Islamic Jihad Movement's literature. Thus, I conclude from these documents that *Al-Arian* was organizing the *PIJ* from his home in Tampa.

- 40. Also found in the search of *Al-Arian*'s residence were statements from several bank accounts, including one that he shared with Mohammed Taysir El-Khatib, a member of the *PIJ* Shura Council. The bank statement reflected that, on May 29, 1992, El-Khatib wire transferred \$102,000 from Beirut, Lebanon, into the account he shared with *Al-Arian* in Tampa, Florida. I conclude from this that *Al-Arian* handled money for *PIJ* leaders overseas.
- 41. The search also uncovered a letter from *Al-Arian* to an Islamic Fundamentalist elected to the Kuwaiti Parliament seeking funds for the families of *PIJ* martyrs, on the stated grounds that the movement "carries out selective operations that all the Arab armies together couldn't do." *Al-Arian* told the Kuwaiti that "[r]elations with the brothers who are part of HAMAS is very good, and progressing well. There are serious attempts at establishing permanent coordination and unity of action." Enclosing his address and phone number, *Al-Arian* called upon the Kuwaiti "to strongly support the Jihad effort in Palestine in order for such operations to continue." I conclude from this that *Al-Arian* engaged in international fund-raising for *PIJ* from his home in Tampa; it also confirms that it is not inconsistent for a *PIJ* supporter to also support *HAMAS*.
- 42. Also located at the search of *Al-Arian*'s residence was a document, hand written in Arabic, called the Charter of the Center of the Studies, the Intelligence and the Information. This document, hereinafter called "the Charter," set forth a comprehensive plan to establish a hostile intelligence organization in the United States and elsewhere. It stated that:

Our presence in North America gives us a unique opportunity to monitor, explore and follow up We are in the center which leads the conspiracy against our Islamic world Therefore,

we, here can monitor and watch the American policies and the activities of those questionable organizations... Therefore, we have the capability to establish a Center for Studies, Intelligence and Information.

43. The Charter provided for a "Division of Security and Military Affairs," whose functions were to

prepare training programs for the brothers. These programs include physical training, surveillance training. . . programs for military training benefitting from the available opportunities that exist in this country To make technical studies with the objective of availing spying and military tools and devices to the Group in America and the East and about the spying methods and equipment in these countries.

- 44. The Charter provided for an Organization/Law Studies Section whose job it would be to study the legal aspect of establishing charitable organizations in America.
- 45. The Charter provided for the establishment of an Intelligence and Monitoring

 Apparatus, part of which would be responsible to "to watch the individuals who oppose the

 Movement and the Islamic actions. To watch them, monitor them and to make files on them. . .

 "Members of the Group should be able to "infiltrate the sensitive intelligence agencies or the embassies in order to collect information and build close relationships with the people in charge in these establishments." They should also use every opportunity to "collect information from those relatives and friends who work in sensitive positions in the government, et cetera"
- 46. The Charter further provided for the establishment of a "Branch of Information and Security and Military Studies"

to perform special studies such as purchasing and retaining arms and training on these arms. The situation of the camps and the training locations to be studied. To study the matters of exporting arms in special ways in relation to the source of these arms and the implications of exporting it. Also, to follow up on the scientific development relating to spying operations, the intelligence agencies and its tools.

- 47. Also seized during the search was a videocassette of the First Annual Conference of ICP organized by Al-Arian and held on December 22-26, 1988, in St. Louis. At that conference, Al-Arian told the audience that "Islam means Jihad, resistance, fight, and martyrdom. . . . "Al-Arian repeated slogans including "Jihad is our way. Victory to Islam. Death to Israel. Revolution until victory."
- 48. Another item obtained in the search was a videocassette of the Fourth Annual conference of the *ICP* held on December 27-30, 1991 in Chicago, also organized by *Al-Arian*. At that conference, *PIJ* spiritual leader Sheikh Abdel Aziz *Odeh* told the audience that "Muslims should not fear accusation of terrorism or extremism. There are no other choices for Muslims."
- 49. Another videotape seized during this search contains activities recorded at the Islamic Center of Cleveland on April 7, 1991. Fawaz Abu-Damra, the Imam at the Islamic Mosque in Cleveland, introduced *Al-Arian* as the guest for the evening. As *Al-Arian* came forward, Damra told the audience that *Al-Arian* "heads the *ICP* and as small excerpt about the *ICP* it is the active arm of the Islamic Jihad Movement in Palestine in North America. We call it the *ICP* here for security reasons."
- 50. That same videotape depicted Damra then asking that all video and audio recording devices be turned off for security reasons and the tape goes blank. The tape was turned off and on several times. It later depicted *Al-Arian* stating that "we must continue with the Resistance let's damn America, let's damn Israel, let's damn their allies until death."
- 51. The tape further depicted Damra telling the audience as *Al-Arian* ended his speech that anyone who donates money to the Intifadah and the Islamic Jihad is like that warrior who is